

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/474,569 12/29/1999		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		ROLAND LAMER	70191/239		
75	590 07/17/2002				
FOLEY & LARDNER FIRSTAR CENTER 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, MYLINH T		
MILWAUKEE, WI 532025367			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

1.					g			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/474,569)	ROLAND LAMER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mylinh T Tr	an	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D	<u>December 19</u>	<u>999</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is r	on-final.					
3) Disposition	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
-	on Papers		4					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) 🔲 🛚	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	•	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(: atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/4/4,569

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rock et al. [US. 6,032,120] in view of Wong et al. [US. 6,260,021 B1].

As to claims 1, 14 and 23, Rock et al. discloses a display unit (figure 1, (140)); a first application configured to display patient images for a patient on the display unit (figure 1, client application (110), column 2, lines 45-53 and column 3, lines 11-24); a second application (figure 1, server application, (120), column 2, lines 53-67 and column 3, lines 23-44); and the first application configured to generate a patient context for the patient. provide the patient context to the second application, and display patient data from the second application based on the patient context (column 1, line 48 through column 2, lines 14). The difference between Rock et al. and the claim is a workstation coupled to the display unit. Wong et al. shows the workstation on figure 1, column 3, lines 42-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Rock et al. and Wong et al. before them at the time the invention was made to modify the patient data information system display unit taught by Rock et al. to include the workstation of Wong et al., in order to distribute medical images from one or more existing storage systems to a plurality of network attached client workstations as taught by Wong et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,569

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 2, Rock in view of Wong et al. also discloses the first application is configured to retrieve patient image data from a picture archival and communication system (PACS) (Wong, figure 1, (26), column 7, lines 28-37).

1102

As to claims 3, 16 and 25, Wong et al. teaches the second application is configured to retrieve patient textual data from a radiology, information system (RIS), wherein the patient data includes the patient textual data (figure 1, (18), column 8, lines 10-30). As to claim 4, Rock et al. disclose a digital medical image display routine automatically presents the image to the user on the display. It is inherent that the digital image display includes a monitor that has resolution of at least 90 dpi.

As to claims 5, 20 and 29, Rock in view of Wong et al. also teaches the second application is selected from the group consisting of a case signout application, a report entry application, an order detailing application, and an order viewer application (Wong, column 4, lines 1-25).

As to claim 6, Rock in view of Wong et al. shows a second workstation coupled to the workstation, the second workstation configured to operate the second application (Wong, column 3, lines 42-60).

As to claims 7 and 8, Rock in view of Wong et al. also shows the second application is coupled to the first application via an object request broker and further comprising a bridge coupled between the second application and the object request broker, wherein the second application communicates via the component object model (COM) (Wong, column 6, lines 35-55 and column 7, lines 38-57).

As to claims 9, 10, 21 and 30, Rock in view of Wong et al. demonstrates the first application generating the patient context in response to user input at the input unit and the input unit is selected from the group consisting of a mouse, a voice recognition

Application/Control Number: 09/474,569

Art Unit: 2174

system, a keystroke, a switch, and a light pen (Wong, column 10, line 50 through column 11, line 5).

As to claims 11, 17 and 26, Wong et al. also demonstrates patient context includes patient identification data (column 11, lines 58-65).

As to claim 12, Wong et al. discloses the patient context includes user identification data (column 13, lines 10-16).

As to claims 13, 22 and 31, Wong et al. also discloses the patient data includes patient examination information (column 10, lines 47-65).

As to claims 15 and 24, Wong et al. teaches retrieving the first set of patient data from an image database (column 12, line 65 through column 13, line 6).

As to claims 18 and 27, Rock et al. shows the step of providing includes generating an event based on the patient context and providing the event to the second application (column 1, lines 47-67).

As to claims 19 and 28, Rock et al. also shows converting the event from a first object model to a second object model and providing the converted event to the second application (column 4, lines 25-41).

Conclusion

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires fax a response, (703) 746-7238), may be used for formal After Final communications, (703) 746-7239 for Official communications, or (703) 746-7240 for Non-Official or draft communications. NOTE, A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for information facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8.00AM to 6.30PM

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640,

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

KRISTINE KINCAID SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2174